

# $\Delta_2^P$ -Complete Lexicographically First Maximal Subgraph Problems

Satoru Miyano

*Research Institute of Fundamental Information Science  
Kyushu University 33, Fukuoka 812, Japan.*

## Abstract

The lexicographically first maximal (lfm) induced path problem is shown  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete. The lfm rooted tree problem is also  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete. But when restricted to topologically sorted directed acyclic graphs (dags), the lfm rooted tree problem allows a polynomial time algorithm. Moreover, the problem restricted dags with degree 3 is shown in  $NC^2$  while the problem for degree 4 is P-complete.

## 1. Introduction

Papadimitriou [Pa] is the first who gave a natural problem complete for  $\Delta_2^P$ , which is the class of problems solvable in polynomial time using oracles in NP. He proved that the uniquely optimum traveling salesman problem is  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete. Afterwards, Wagner [Wa] has found some  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete problems related to optimization problems. This paper gives  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete problems of a new kind. The importance of the  $\Delta_2^P$ -completeness is not only due to its high complexity but also due to the observation that any  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete problem is hard to efficiently parallelize even if NP-oracles are available.

For a given hereditary property  $\pi$  on graphs, we consider the problem of finding the lexicographically first maximal (abbreviated to lfm) subset  $U$  of vertices of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  such that  $U$  induces a *connected* subgraph satisfying  $\pi$ , where we assume that  $V$  is linearly ordered as  $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Problems of this kind have been extensively studied in [AM], [Ma], [M1], [M2]. In particular, without the connectedness restriction, the P-completeness of the lfm subgraph problem for *any* nontrivial polynomial time testable hereditary property is proved in [M1] as an analogue of the results in [LY], [Y2]. However, since the connectedness is not necessarily inherited by subgraphs, a new analysis is required.

In this paper we are involved in the complexity analysis of problems of this kind. Our main result is that the lfm induced path problem is  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete. We should here note that this problem is different from the lfm maximal path problem discussed in [AM] which was shown P-complete.

Some of the lfm connected subgraph problems for hereditary properties are polynomial time solvable. For example, the lfm clique problem is obviously in P. In Section 3, we prove a general theorem asserting that the lfm connected subgraph problem for a hereditary property is NP-hard if the property is satisfied by graphs with arbitrarily large diameters and is determined by blocks. Hence the connectedness makes the problem harder.

In Section 4, we concentrate on a special problem, the lfm rooted tree problem. It is also possible to prove that this problem is  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete even if the instances are directed acyclic graphs (abbreviated to dags). But if vertices of a dag are topologically sorted, it allows a polynomial time algorithm. Moreover, our analysis shows that the problem restricted to topologically sorted dags with degree 4 is P-complete and the degree bound 4 is proved to be optimal in the sense that the problem for degree 3 is, interestingly, solvable in  $NC^2$ . Finally, the complexity analysis of the lfm forest problem is given in comparison with the rooted tree problem.

**2. The Lexicographically First Maximal Induced Path Problem is  $\Delta_2^P$ -Complete**

The *lfm induced path problem* is to find the lfm subset of vertices that induces a path, a connected graph of degree at most 2 with no cycle. We prove that this is  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete.

For any graph property  $\pi$ , the lexicographically first maximal subgraph satisfying  $\pi$  is computed by the following greedy algorithm:

```

begin
  U ← ∅;
  for j = 1 to n do
    if U ∪ {j} can be extended to a subgraph of G satisfying π then U ← U ∪ {j}
end
    
```

From the algorithm it is clear that the lfm subgraph problem for  $\pi$  is in  $\Delta_2^P$  if  $\pi$  is polynomial time testable.

Following [Pa], we give the definition of the *deterministic satisfiability problem* as follows:

Let  $x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}$  be  $k-1$  variables and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_k$  be  $k$  sets of variables. A formula  $F(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$  in conjunctive normal form is said to be *deterministic* if  $F$  consists of the following clauses:

- (1) Either  $(y)$  or  $(\bar{y})$  is a clause of  $F$  for each  $y$  in  $Y_1 \cup Y_k$ .
- (2) For each  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$  and each  $y$  in  $Y_{i+1}$ , there are sets  $C_y^i$  and  $D_y^i$  of conjunctions of literals from  $Y_i \cup \{x_i\}$  with the following properties:
  - (i) Exactly one of the conjunctions in  $C_y^i \cup D_y^i$  is true for any truth assignment.
  - (ii)  $F$  contains clauses  $(\alpha \rightarrow y)$  and  $(\beta \rightarrow \bar{y})$  for each  $\alpha \in C_y^i$  and each  $\beta \in D_y^i$ .

**DSAT(Deterministic Satisfiability)**

**Instance:** A deterministic formula  $F_0(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$  and formulas  $F_1(Y_1, Z_1), \dots, F_{k-1}(Y_{k-1}, Z_{k-1})$  in 3-conjunctive normal form, where  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}\}, Y_1, \dots, Y_k, Z_1, \dots, Z_{k-1}$  are mutually disjoint sets of variables.

**Question:** Is there a truth assignment  $\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_{k-1}, \hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_k$  satisfying (i) and (ii).

- (i)  $F_0(\hat{x}_1, \dots, \hat{x}_{k-1}, \hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_k) = t$ .
- (ii)  $F_i(\hat{Y}_i, Z_i)$  is satisfiable  $\iff \hat{x}_i = t$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k-1$ .

**Lemma 1 [Pa].** *DSAT is  $\Delta_2^P$ -complete.*

**Lemma 2.** *For a formula  $F$  in conjunctive normal form, we can construct a graph  $G_F$  with specified vertices  $a, w_0, w_1$  of degree 1 such that  $F$  is satisfiable (resp. not satisfiable) if and only if the lfm induced path of  $G_F$  is a path from  $a$  to  $w_1$  (resp.  $w_0$ ).*

**Proof.** For simplicity we assume that  $F$  is in 3-conjunctive normal form. Let  $c_1, \dots, c_m$  be the clauses of  $F$  and let  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  be the variables occurring in  $c_1, \dots, c_m$ . For each variable  $x_i$ , we use the graph in Fig. 1(a) called the *variable graph*, where  $k_i = \max\{|\{c_j : c_j \text{ contains } x_i\}|, |\{c_j : c_j \text{ contains } \bar{x}_i\}|\}$ . We call the subgraph induced by  $d_i, x_i, \bar{x}_i$  the *value assignment part* for  $x_i$ . For each clause  $c_j = \alpha_j + \beta_j + \gamma_j$ , we use the graph in Fig. 1(b) called the *clause graph* and  $c_j$  a *clause vertex*. We call vertices  $\alpha_j[c_j]_p, \beta_j[c_j]_p, \gamma_j[c_j]_p$  ( $p = 0, 1$ ) *literal vertices*. An example of construction for a formula  $F = (x_1 + x_2)(x_1 + \bar{x}_2)(\bar{x}_1 + x_2)(\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2)$  in 2-conjunctive normal form is given in Fig. 2 together with the numbering of vertices, where some edges are not drawn since they make the figure ugly. As shown in Fig. 2, the variable graphs are concatenated in the order of  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and the clause graphs are connected using square vertices  $z_1, \dots, z_m$ . It also has special vertices  $a, b, h_0, h_1, h_2, w_0$  and  $w_1$  which are wired as shown. We put edges  $\{h_1, z_j\}$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Due to these edges,  $z_1, \dots, z_m$  are forbidden to be chosen when  $h_1$  has been chosen before. We also add edges  $\{h_0, u\}$  for all literal vertices  $u$ . These edges separate the clause vertices from the variable graphs when  $h_0$  is chosen. We connect the vertex  $x_i$  (resp.  $\bar{x}_i$ ) to vertices  $\bar{x}_i[c_j]_0, \bar{x}_i[c_j]_1$  (resp.  $x_i[c_j]_0, x_i[c_j]_1$ ) if clause  $c_j$  contains the literal  $\bar{x}_i$  (resp.  $\bar{x}_i$ ). The vertex  $x_i$  (resp.  $\bar{x}_i$ ) is also connected to