Researching Scholarship in the Age of the Internet

Robin Goodfellow, Institute of Educational Technology, the Open University

In this paper I will review current debates about the nature of scholarship in higher education (following Boyer 1990) and the impact of digital communication practices on its role in research and teaching (e.g. Borgman 2007, Pearce et al 2010), and discuss a methodological framework for researching these issues, drawing on work in Situated Literacies (Ivaniĉ et al 2007) and Socio-technical Interaction (Kling et al 2003).

Four dimensions of scholarship in the digital university will be considered. Two under the heading 'traditional scholarship': the autonomous disciplines and practice fields that contribute to institutional research portfolios and teaching curricula; and the institutional and sectoral missions that determine how resources are allocated amongst scholars working in these disciplines and fields. And two under 'Internet practice': the digital tools and applications that are ubiquitous in everyday life and are increasingly being adopted in professional contexts; and the specific practices around the sharing of digital resources that are prevalent in the leisure and other 'culture' industries and which are gaining influence in educational sectors.

These separate dimensions of scholarship, I suggest, generate practices which work both in synergy and in tension with each other, such that no single conceptual/methodological framework adequately accounts for all their effects. This can be illustrated by some of the discussion that has occurred in the previous two seminars in this series: informed by both a 'literacies' (texts and textual practices) perspective, and a 'learning technologies' (media and interactions) one (e.g. see the LiDU blog March 2nd 2010).

As a contribution to the LiDU project of marrying these perspectives I will examine how two conceptual frameworks, characteristic of these perspectives, might be applied to some examples of contexts where new practices and understandings of scholarship are attendant on new forms of digital communication. One is from the Literacies for Learning in Further Education work which our colleagues at Lancaster have been pursuing (Ivaniĉ et al op. cit and Ivaniĉ & Satchwell 2007), and which Mary, Candice and David presented in Glasgow, and the other is from work in Information Science (Kling et al op cit) and Educational Technology (Barab et al 2001). See the table below:

Texts & Textual Practices frame (Ivaniĉ et al)	Socio-Technical Interaction Network frame
	(Kling et al)
audience	system interactors
text type(s) / genre(s)	core interactor groups
content/topic(s)	incentive structures
media	excluded actors and undesired interactions
modes	existing key mediation systems
artefacts: tools and resources	resource flows
purpose(s)	architectural choice points
participant(s) and relationships	socio-technical features (mapped to
feelings	architectural choice points)
activity/processes	
place/space	
time/duration	
values associated with the practice	
identities inscribed in the practice	

The contexts in which I will explore these frameworks are as follows:

- 1) Blogging by academic scholars in different disciplinary areas and practice fields
- 2) The use of digital repositories of research on professional practice by scholars, managers and practitioners in the Health and Care sectors

- 3) Citizen engagement in the communication of Climate Science scholarship via Internet media
- 4) Public creativity around online resources created by the V&A Museum

For all these contexts, I suggest, combining a 'Literacies' perspective on the texts and textual practices of individual participants, with a 'sociotechnical network' view of the wider interactions in which these texts and practices are embedded, helps us to understand better the forces for change that conventional constructions of scholarship, and scholars, are subject to in these domains. Whether such an understanding can help us to preserve or enhance more traditional, but still highly valued, functions of the academic university in the face of a digital future is another question!

References

Barab, S., Makinster, J., Moore, J. & Cunningham, D. (2001) Designing and Building an Online Community: The Struggle to Support Sociability in the Inquiry Learning Forum, *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 49,(4), pp. 71-96

Borgman, C. (2007) Scholarship in the digital age: information, infrastructure, and the Internet. Cambridge, Mass., MIT Press.

Boyer, E. (1990) Scholarship Reconsidered: Priorities of the Professoriate. Special report for the Carnegie foundation for the advancement of teaching.

https://depts.washington.edu/gs630/Spring/Boyer.pdf

Ivanič, R., Edwards, Ri., Satchwell, C., and Smith, J. (2007) 'Possibilities for pedagogy in further education: harnessing the abundance of literacy', British Educational Research Journal, 33:5, 703 – 721

Ivanič, R., & Satchwell, C., (2007) Boundary crossing: networking and transforming literacies in research processes and college courses, Journal of Applied Linguistics, 4,1: 101-124 Kling, R., McKim, G., & King, A. (2003). A Bit More to IT: Scholarly Communication Forums as Socio-Technical Interaction Networks. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, *54*(1), 46-67

Literacy in the Digital University (LiDU) blog May 11th 2010 Borgman on digital scholarship — why it is a literacy matter. Posted by Robin Goodfellow:

http://literacy in the digital university. blog spot. com/2010/05/borg man-on-digital-scholar ship-why-it. html

Pearce, N., Weller, M., Scanlon, E., Kinsley, S. (2010) Digital Scholarship Considered: How New Technologies Could Transform Academic Work. In Education 16, 1.

http://www.ineducation.ca/article/digital-scholarship-considered-how-new-technologies-could-transform-academic-work