

Inter-criteria dependencies-based decision support in the sustainable wind energy management

Paweł Ziemia ^{1,*}

¹ Faculty of Economics and Management, University of Szczecin, Mickiewicza 64, 71-101 Szczecin, Poland, e-mail: pawel.ziemia@usz.edu.pl




Figure S1. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C1 and sub-criterion C1.2




Figure S2. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C1 and sub-criterion C1.3




Figure S3. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C2 and sub-criterion C2.1




Figure S4. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C2 and sub-criterion C2.2




Figure S5. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C2 and sub-criterion C2.3




Figure S6. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C2 and sub-criterion C2.4




Figure S7. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C2 and sub-criterion C2.5




Figure S8. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C3 and sub-criterion C3.1




Figure S9. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C3 and sub-criterion C3.2




Figure S10. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C4 and sub-criterion C4.1




Figure S11. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C4 and sub-criterion C4.2




Figure S12. Utility of alternatives determined by means of: (a) the AHP method; (b) the ANP method; depending on the weight of the criterion C5 and sub-criterion C5.1



© 2019 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).