



Correction to: Development and evaluation of an Urdu treebank (CLE-UTB) and a statistical parser

Toqeer Ehsan¹  · Sarmad Hussain²

Published online: 17 November 2020
© Springer Nature B.V. 2020

Correction to: Lang Resources & Evaluation <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10579-020-09492-7>

In the original publication of the article the column headers of the Tables 17 and 18 were incorrectly published. The corrected version of Tables 17 and 18 are provided with this Correction.

The original article has been corrected.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10579-020-09492-7>.

✉ Toqeer Ehsan
toqeer.ehsan@gmail.com
Sarmad Hussain
sarmad.hussain@kics.edu.pk

¹ Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

² Center for Language Engineering (CLE), KICS/CS&E, University of Engineering and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan

Table 17 No. of sentences in train and test sets. Test set has been divided into three classes i.e. small, medium and long sentences

Dataset	Train	Test				Total
		Small (≤ 10)	Medium (11–25)	Long (> 25)	All test	
#Sentences	6881	229	526	218	973	7854
#Tokens	130,105	1919	9136	7415	18,470	148,575

Table 18 Parsing results after training two parsers on the CLE-UTB

CLE-UTB Version	Gold POS			Predicted POS			
	Labeled recall (%)	Labeled precision (%)	Labeled f-score (%)	Labeled recall (%)	Labeled precision (%)	Labeled f-score (%)	POS accuracy (%)
Stanford Parser	79.0	77.9	78.4	75.1	74.3	74.7	94.9
BiLSTM Parser	87.1	89.2	88.1	85.7	86.7	86.2	96.3

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.